

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,410 12/19/2001		19/2001	Peter J. Schrenkel	89.0493	4877
35204	7590	10/01/2004		EXAM	INER
SCHLUMBI	ERGER RI	FRANK, R	FRANK, RODNEY T		
14910 AIRLI	NE ROAD				
P.O. BOX 159	90		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ROSHARON.	TX 7758	33-1590		2856	•

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/025,410	SCHRENKEL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Rodney T. Frank	2856					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr cause the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	ly 2004.						
<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	· ·						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applic	ation No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	ived.					
Attachmont/c)							
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	arv (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mai						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/025,410 Page 2

Art Unit: 2856

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: This claim, as amended, is now confusing as you claim that the fluid barrier is disengaged during use of the logging tool above the fluid barrier. This would mean that the barrier is disengaged above itself. This is unclear. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moody et al. (U.S. Patent Number 4,505,341; hereinafter referred to as Moody). Moody discloses a tool (10) is disclosed which permits retrieval of an object (201) from within a borehole having debris (210) surrounding the object. The tool (10) includes an upper assembly (12) and a lower assembly (14). The upper assembly (12) is secured to a drill or tubing string assembly (16) extending to the surface. The lower assembly (14) mounts the wash pipe (200). The lower assembly (14) includes a debris chamber (144) and a trap valve (148) for permitting one-way flow of debris and fluid therein. In hydrostatic operation, a lower valve assembly (126) is provided for opening to expose the upper portions of the tool (10) to the borehole pressure and drive debris and fluid through the wash pipe and into the debris chamber. This activity permits the tool and wash pipe to move

ownwardly in the borehole so that the wash pipe surrounds the object. Settling debris wedges the object within the wash pipe for removal. Hydraulic operation is permitted by use of a seal, guide and swab piston assembly (102) to drive debris and fluid into the debris chamber to wedge the object within the wash pipe. A tool (300) is also disclosed for use in surging perforations (310) and holes (344) in a borehole by using a pack-off device (302) (Please see the abstract).

4. In regard to claim 1, Moody discloses a system comprising a logging system with a logging tool (see column 12 lines 51-58), a downhole unit operable to house the logging tool and to secure a fluid barrier within a well bore casing whereby the fluid barrier can be disengaged (see column 16 lines 7-26). Though the exact position of the fluid barrier with relation with relation to a logging tool, or the like, is not disclosed, the examiner feels that this is an inherent limitation with regard to the device and therefore would be disclosed in view of Moody.

In regard to claims 2 and 3, the use of a fluid barrier, a retrievable bridge plug, is disclosed in column 16 lines 7-26 is disclosed.

In regard to claims 4-13, though each and every limitation claimed may not be disclosed specifically, the examiner feels that these limitations are mere design choices that are well known for one of ordinary skill in the art of designing such systems.

In regard to claim 14, Moody discloses a system comprising a downhole tool, a well logging tool, a fluid barrier, and two portions, a first for housing the well logging tool and a portion to interact with the logging tool. Though Moody may not specifically disclose the exact relationship and function of the portions as claimed, the examiner sees this as a mere design choice since there is no disclosed benefit from, nor unexpected result resulting from the particular arrangement claimed.

In regard to claims 15 and 16, though the exact arrangement claimed is not disclosed, these limitations are seen as a mere design choice well within the preview of one of ordinary skill in the art.

Page 4

In regard to claims 17-25, though each and every limitation claimed may not be disclosed specifically, the examiner feels that these limitations are mere design choices that are well known for one of ordinary skill in the art of designing such systems.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The examiner has cited various references that are deemed relevant to the general state of the art of the present invention.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Application/Control Number: 10/025,410 Page 5

Art Unit: 2856

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final

action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Rodney T. Frank whose telephone number is (571) 272-2193. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am -5:30p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Hezron E. Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**RTF** 

September 24, 2004

HEZRÓN WILLIAMS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800**